# SUPPRESSOR OF FRIGIDA3 Encodes a Nuclear ACTIN-RELATED PROTEIN6 Required for Floral Repression in Arabidopsis

Kyuha Choi,<sup>a,1</sup> Sanghee Kim,<sup>a,1</sup> Sang Yeol Kim,<sup>a,b</sup> Minsoo Kim,<sup>a</sup> Youbong Hyun,<sup>a</sup> Horim Lee,<sup>a</sup> Sunghwa Choe,<sup>a</sup> Sang-Gu Kim,<sup>a</sup> Scott Michaels,<sup>b</sup> and Ilha Lee<sup>a,c,2</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Biological Sciences, Seoul National University, Seoul 151-742, Korea

<sup>b</sup> Department of Biology, Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana 47405

<sup>o</sup> Plant Metabolism Research Center, Kyung Hee University, Suwon 449-701, Korea

Flowering traits in winter annual *Arabidopsis thaliana* are conferred mainly by two genes, *FRIGIDA (FRI)* and *FLOWERING LOCUS C (FLC)*. *FLC* acts as a flowering repressor and is regulated by multiple flowering pathways. We isolated an early-flowering mutant, *suppressor of FRIGIDA3 (suf3)*, which also shows leaf serration, weak apical dominance, and infrequent conversion of the inflorescence shoot to a terminal flower. The *suf3* mutation caused a decrease in the transcript level of *FLC* in both a *FRI-*containing line and autonomous pathway mutants. However, *suf3* showed only a partial reduction of *FLC* transcript level, although it largely suppressed the late-flowering phenotype. In addition, the *suf3* mutation caused acceleration of flowering. *SUF3* is highly expressed in the shoot apex, but the expression is not regulated by *FRI*, autonomous pathway genes, or vernalization. *SUF3* encodes the nuclear ACTIN-RELATED PROTEIN6 (ARP6), the homolog of which in yeast is a component of an ATP-dependent chromatin-remodeling SWR1 complex. Our analyses showed that *SUF3* regulates *FLC* expression independent of vernalization, *FRI*, and an autonomous pathway gene, all of which affect the histone modification of *FLC* chromatin. Subcellular localization using a green fluorescent protein fusion showed that *Arabidopsis* ARP6 is located at distinct regions of the nuclear periphery.

#### INTRODUCTION

Proper timing of flowering is pivotal for the reproductive success of plants; thus, they have evolved a sophisticated mechanism to determine flowering time in response to endogenous signals and environmental cues. Approximately two decades of genetic studies in *Arabidopsis thaliana* have revealed >80 flowering time genes that have been classified into four interdependent genetic pathways: long day, autonomous, vernalization, and gibberellin-dependent (reviewed in Mouradov et al., 2002; Simpson and Dean, 2002; Amasino, 2004; Boss et al., 2004). The long-day and vernalization pathways respond to the environmental factors light and temperature, respectively, whereas the autonomous and gibberellin pathways seem to respond to endogenous signals. Mutations in genes involved in the long-day pathway, such as *constans* (*co*), *gigantea* (*gi*), and *ft*, cause late flowering time under

<sup>1</sup> These authors contributed equally to this work.

<sup>™</sup>Online version contains Web-only data.

short days. The expression of CO is regulated by circadian rhythm, and the coincidence of the peak expression of CO and light exposure during long days was shown to activate the expression of FT, which is sufficient to induce flowering (Suarez-Lopez et al., 2001; Yanovsky and Kay, 2002; Valverde et al., 2004). By contrast, mutations in genes of the autonomous pathway, such as luminidependens (ld), fca, fve, fpa, flowering locus D (fld), and fy, cause late flowering under both long days and short days compared with the wild type, showing that the mutants have a normal response to environmental factors (Koornneef et al., 1991, 1998; He et al., 2003). The long-day, vernalization, gibberellin, and autonomous pathways converge on common downstream target genes, the so-called flowering pathway integrators, such as FT, SUPPRESSOR OF OVEREX-PRESSION OF CONSTANS1 (SOC1/AGL20), and LEAFY, to promote flowering (Lee et al., 2000; Onouchi et al., 2000; Samach et al., 2000; Simpson and Dean, 2002; Moon et al., 2003, 2005).

In terms of flowering time traits, *Arabidopsis* accessions can be classified into winter annuals and summer annuals (Gazzani et al., 2003; Michaels et al., 2003). Winter annual accessions show a very late-flowering phenotype without prolonged cold exposure (vernalization), but flowering is dramatically accelerated by vernalization. By contrast, summer annual accessions flower early, and the effect of vernalization is minimal. This difference in flowering behavior is determined mainly by two genes, *FRIGIDA* (*FRI*) and *FLOWERING LOCUS C* (*FLC*), that act as floral repressors (Napp-Zinn, 1985; Burn et al., 1993; Lee et al.,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail ilhalee@ snu.ac.kr; fax 82-2-872-1993.

The author responsible for distribution of materials integral to the findings presented in this article in accordance with the policy described in the Instructions for Authors (www.plantcell.org) is: Ilha Lee (ilhalee@ snu.ac.kr).

Article, publication date, and citation information can be found at www.plantcell.org/cgi/doi/10.1105/tpc.105.035485.

1993, 1994; Clarke and Dean, 1994; Koornneef et al., 1994; Gazzani et al., 2003; Michaels et al., 2003). Summer annual accessions such as Landsberg erecta (Ler) and Columbia (Col) have a nonfunctional fri allele and/or a weak flc allele, whereas winter annual accessions have functional versions of both genes. FRI, encoding a coiled-coil protein, functions to increase RNA levels of FLC, and FLC, a MADS box transcription factor, represses the expression of the genes necessary for the transition to flowering (Michaels and Amasino, 1999, 2001; Sheldon et al., 1999, 2000; Johanson et al., 2000). FLC expression is also negatively regulated by the autonomous pathway; thus, mutations in the autonomous pathway genes cause increased levels of FLC. It is noteworthy that the activity of FRI is dominant over the activity of autonomous pathway genes-that is, FRI increases the FLC expression level in the presence of all of the autonomous pathway genes (Michaels and Amasino, 1999, 2001). Vernalization promotes flowering in winter annuals and in the mutants of the autonomous pathway genes by epigenetic downregulation of FLC (Bastow et al., 2004; Sung and Amasino, 2004a, 2004b). Therefore, FLC is a convergence point for autonomous and vernalization pathways and the activity of FRI.

Vernalization is an epigenetic switch in that the vernalized state is maintained throughout vegetative growth by mitotic cell division and is completely reset at the next generation by passage through meiosis (Amasino, 2004; Sung and Amasino, 2004b). Epigenetic control that establishes and maintains a certain transcriptional pattern is usually mediated by the modification of chromatin structure, which is regulated by two types of chromatin-modifying complexes: ATP-dependent chromatinremodeling complexes and histone-modifying complexes such as histone acetylase and histone deacetylase (Narlikar et al., 2002; reviewed in Turner, 2002). Recently, the epigenetic regulatory mechanism of vernalization was shown to involve the modification of FLC chromatin (Bastow et al., 2004; Sung and Amasino, 2004a, 2004b; He and Amasino, 2005). The establishment of the vernalized state, the transcriptional repression of FLC, is mediated by VERNALIZATION-INSENSITIVE3 (VIN3), which encodes a PHD domain protein and presumably a component of chromatin-modifying complexes (Sung and Amasino, 2004a). It was shown that the expression of VIN3 is induced not by short exposure to cold but only by vernalization (long exposure to cold), and VIN3 is necessary for the deacetylation of histone 3 (H3) in FLC chromatin during vernalization. Then, the maintenance of FLC repression is mediated by VERNALIZATION1 (VRN1) and VRN2 through the methylation of H3 at Lys-9 and Lys-27 (Bastow et al., 2004; Sung and Amasino, 2004a). VRN1 encodes a Myb-related DNA binding protein, whereas VRN2 encodes a polycomb group protein homologous with SUPPRES-SOR OF ZESTE-12, a component of POLYCOMB REPRESSOR COMPLEX2, a complex with histone methyltransferase activity (Gendall et al., 2001; Kuzmichev et al., 2002; Levy et al., 2002; Chanvivattana et al., 2004).

A large number of genes involved in the modification of chromatin structure have been shown to regulate flowering, especially through the analyses of early-flowering mutants. For example, *PHOTOPERIOD-INDEPENDENT EARLY FLOWER-ING1 (PIE1)*, encoding an *Arabidopsis* homolog of ISWI, a member of the ATP-dependent chromatin-remodeling protein

SWI/SNF superfamily, controls multiple flowering pathways and is required for the upregulation of FLC in winter annuals and autonomous pathway mutants (Noh and Amasino, 2003). By contrast, Arabidopsis BRAHMA, encoding a homolog of SNF2, another member of the SWI/SNF superfamily, controls the photoperiod flowering pathway by negative regulation of CO, FT, and SOC1 but does not affect the expression of FLC (Farrona et al., 2004). EARLY BOLTING IN SHORT DAYS, encoding a nuclear protein that contains the bromoadjacent homology domain found in chromatin-remodeling factors in other organisms, regulates flowering by the repression of FT (Piñeiro et al., 2003). TERMINAL FLOWER2 (TFL2) encodes a homolog of HETEROCHROMATIN PROTEIN1 (HP1) that binds to methylated Lys-9 of histone H3 and maintains an inactive heterochromatin structure (Gaudin et al., 2001; Kotake et al., 2003). The mutations in TFL2 cause early flowering by the ectopic expression of FT as well as the conversion of the inflorescence shoot apex to a terminal flower (Gaudin et al., 2001; Kotake et al., 2003; Takada and Goto, 2003). It was also shown that the homologs of components of the PAF1 complex in yeast, VERNALIZATION INDEPENDENCE4 (VIP4), VIP5, VIP6/ELF8 (for EARLY FLOW-ERING8), and ELF7 are required for the upregulation of FLC in winter annuals and autonomous pathway mutants (Zhang and van Nocker, 2002; He et al., 2004; Oh et al., 2004). The PAF1 complex in yeast was shown to recruit SET1 methyltransferase, which catalyzes the trimethylation of histone H3 on Lys-4, a landmark of active transcription (Krogan et al., 2003; Ng et al., 2003). Indeed, the elf7 and elf8 mutants cause a decrease in the trimethylation of histone H3 on Lys-4 in FLC chromatin (He et al., 2004). Mutations in homologs of the PAF1 complex also cause early flowering independent of FLC, suggesting that they play roles in multiple flowering pathways.

In this study, we screened fast neutron-irradiated earlyflowering mutants of *FRI*-containing *Arabidopsis* winter annuals and analyzed one of the mutants named *suppressor of FRIGIDA3* (*suf3*). Map-based gene cloning revealed that *SUF3* encodes ACTIN-RELATED PROTEIN6 (ARP6), a putative component of a chromatin-remodeling complex. *SUF3* is required for high expression of *FLC* in both *FRI*-containing lines and in autonomous pathway mutants. In addition to *FLC*, our results showed that *SUF3* regulates additional flowering repressors. *Arabidopsis* ARP6 is located at specific regions of the nuclear periphery where gene activation may occur.

#### RESULTS

## Isolation of *suf* Mutants by Fast Neutron Radiation Mutagenesis

To dissect the genetic mechanisms governing flowering behavior of *Arabidopsis* winter annuals, we performed fast neutron mutagenesis in the line Col:*FRI*<sup>SF2</sup> (*FRI*<sup>SF2</sup> from the winter annual San Feliu-2 was introgressed into Col by backcrossing eight times; thus, this line has a winter annual flowering trait; it was used as the wild type in our study) (Michaels and Amasino, 1999; Lee et al., 2000). We screened early-flowering mutants that showed recessive single gene mutations. Genetic complementation analysis disclosed a group of early-flowering mutants that were allelic to one another but not to either flc (FN231) or fri (FN235) (data not shown) (Michaels and Amasino, 1999). We named this mutant suf3. Seven suf3 alleles were obtained, and all of them showed the same phenotype; thus, we mainly discuss suf3-1 as the representative phenotype (Figure 1, Table 1). When grown under long days, suf3 showed much earlier flowering than wild-type Col:FRI<sup>SF2</sup> and similar flowering time to Col, which has a fri FLC genotype (Figures 1A and 1C, Table 1) (we refer to suf3 in the Col background as suf3 fri below). The suf3 mutant also showed delay in flowering under short days, similar to Col, suggesting that the suf3 mutation does not affect the photoperiod response (Table 1). However, suf3 showed much stronger acceleration of flowering by vernalization than Col, indicating that the vernalization response is not much affected by the suf3 mutation (Table 1). In addition to early flowering, all of the suf3 alleles showed additional phenotypes. suf3 consistently produced serrated leaves starting from the sixth leaf (Figures 1B and 1C). It also produced approximately twice as many coflorescence shoots as Col (6.44  $\pm$  0.71 for suf3 and 5.06  $\pm$  0.49 for suf3 fri versus 3.33  $\pm$  0.49 for Col), which suggests the weakening of apical dominance in the suf3 mutants (Figure 1C). Although infrequent, the secondary shoot apices of suf3 occasionally converted to terminal flowers after producing racemic inflorescences (3 terminal flowers were observed among 62 secondary shoots from 13 suf3 mutant plants; Figure 1D). suf3 mutants occasionally produced flowers with extra petals; among 100 flowers, 63 had four petals and 27 had five or more petals (Figure 1E). The frequency of flowers with extra petals in *suf3* was slightly less than that in *pie1* mutants (47% for five or more petals) reported previously (Noh and Amasino, 2003). Otherwise, *suf3* showed normal growth and development, similar to Col; for example, it exhibited similar size and the same leaf initiation rate as the wild type and Col (data not shown).

#### Positional Cloning of the SUF3 Gene

For positional cloning of SUF3, we crossed suf3-1 to Ler:FRISF2 FLCSF2, a line obtained by backcrossing of San Feliu-2 to Ler six times (Lee and Amasino, 1995), and selected early-flowering progeny from the F2 population for mapping (Figure 2). The rough mapping showed linkage with two simple sequence length polymorphism markers, ciw11 and ciw4, on chromosome 3. Then, we generated more simple sequence length polymorphism markers for fine mapping and found that SUF3 is located between markers SH33 and SH34 (Figure 2A). From 504 chromatids analyzed, no recombinants were found at markers SH35, SH36, SH37, or SH38 loci. Interestingly, we could not amplify DNA by PCR at the marker SH39 locus from suf3 mutants (data not shown). Because fast neutron mutagenesis usually generates a deletion, we tested whether the region surrounding marker SH39 was deleted. All of the suf3 alleles showed a deletion in the region including At3g33530 (WD repeat protein), At3g33520 (ARP6), and At3g33448 (hypothetical protein); suf3-1 showed the smallest deletion, covering  $\sim$ 14 kb (Figure 2A; data not shown). A homozygous null mutant of gene At3g33530 encoding



Figure 1. Phenotypes of the suf3 Mutant.

(A) The wild type (Col:FRI<sup>SF2</sup>), Col, and suf3-1 grown under long days. Photographs were taken when flowering initiated.

(B) Comparison of leaf shape in the wild type, Col, and suf3-1. The leaves are shown in order of production from the first true leaf at left.

- (C) Phenotypes of all seven suf3 alleles that show serrated leaves and increased numbers of coflorescence shoots.
- (D) Conversion of the secondary shoot apex to a terminal flower in suf3. White arrows indicate terminal flowers.
- (E) suf3-1 flower with five petals.

Table 1. Flowering Time of suf3						
Growth Condition	Col:FRI <sup>SF2</sup>	suf3-1	Col (fri FLC)	suf3-1 fri		
Long days	64.27 ± 3.80	12.45 ± 0.52	10.64 ± 0.67	5.73 ± 0.47		
	$(10.09 \pm 0.83)$	(4.18 ± 0.60)	$(3.64 \pm 0.50)$	$(3.09 \pm 0.54)$		
Long days + vernalization	$12.7 \pm 0.67$	$4.80 \pm 0.63$	$7.00 \pm 0.32$	N.D.		
Short days	>100	63.00 ± 3.14	$60.3 \pm 3.71$	17.1 ± 1.73		
Short days + vernalization	$35.5\pm3.3$	13.8 ± 1.81	35.3 ± 5.3	N.D.		

To measure flowering time, 10 plants were used to count the number of rosette leaves when flowering. Values shown are leaf number  $\pm$  sp. The numbers in parentheses are numbers of cauline leaves. For vernalization treatment, plants were germinated and grown at 4°C for 5 weeks in short days and transferred to normal growth conditions. N.D., not determined.

the WD repeat protein was selected from the SALK line (SALK\_003098; T-DNA was inserted in the first exon), and the cross with suf3 resulted in complementation, showing that it is not responsible for the suf3 mutant phenotype (data not shown). We could not obtain the T-DNA insertion mutant of At3g33520 encoding ARP6; thus, we introduced the 35S-ARP6 transgene into the suf3 mutant. All 14 transformants showed a very lateflowering phenotype similar to the wild type (Figure 2C). In addition, none of the transformants showed serrated leaves, terminal flowers, or extra petals, the phenotypes observed in suf3 (data not shown). Furthermore, RNA interference (RNAi) of ARP6 in the wild type consistently caused early flowering, although the range of flowering time was variable among the lines depending on the level of reduction in ARP6 (Figures 2B and 2C). The RNAi transformants also showed additional phenotypes observed in suf3, such as serrated leaves, increased coflorescence shoots, and terminal flowers (Figure 2C, d; data not shown). Therefore, we concluded that SUF3 encodes the ARP6 protein. As reported previously, Arabidopsis ARP6 consists of six exons and encodes proteins of 422 amino acids (McKinney et al., 2002). ARP6 is highly conserved among eukaryotes and has two peptide insertions that seemingly provide divergent surface features from conventional actin (Figure 3).

#### Expression of SUF3

The *SUF3* transcript was detected in all of the tissues we tested, although the expression was slightly weaker in the leaf and stronger in the shoot apex (Figure 4A). In situ hybridization showed that *SUF3* is highly expressed in the shoot apex at both the vegetative and reproductive phases (Figure 4D). During vegetative growth, the leaf primordia as well as the shoot apex showed strong expression, but the expression decreased as the leaves matured (Figure 4D, a). During flower development, *SUF3* was expressed throughout the entire flower meristem until floral stage 3 (for floral stage description, see Smyth et al., 1990). Afterward, expression was reduced from the outermost floral organ primordia. Finally, strong expression was detected at the inner side of the carpel primordia as the flower matured (Figure 4D).

The transcript level of *SUF3* in the wild type was not changed by 5 weeks of vernalization, suggesting that the expression of *SUF3* is not affected by environmental factors (Figure 4B). To determine whether *SUF3* expression is regulated by any of the flowering time genes, RNA gel blot analysis was performed using plants with different genetic backgrounds (Figure 4C). The *SUF3* transcript level in the Col:*FRI<sup>SF2</sup>* wild type was similar to that in Col, showing that *SUF3* expression is not affected by the presence of the *FRI* gene. Furthermore, the *SUF3* transcript level was not affected by mutations in autonomous pathway genes such as *Id*, *fca*, and *fld* or by mutations in long-day pathway genes such as *gi*, *co*, and *ft*. A mutation in *SOC1*, a flowering pathway integrator, also did not affect the level of the *SUF3* transcript. Together, our results showed that *SUF3* expression is not regulated by vernalization or other flowering time genes.

#### Effect of suf3 on the Expression of FLC and Flowering Time

We determined whether the early flowering of suf3 mutants is attributable to the decreased level of FLC by RNA gel blot analysis. All seven suf3 alleles showed  $\sim$  30 to 60% reduction in FLC transcript level compared with the Col:FRISF2 wild type (Figures 5A and 5B). The FLC transcript level in suf3 is fivefold higher than that in Col, although suf3 and Col exhibited a similar flowering time. In contrast with FLC, the SOC1 transcript level in suf3 was similar to that in Col (Figure 5A). Because FLC functions in the shoot apex and it was reported previously that a mutation in pie1 causes a reduction in FLC specifically in the shoot apex but not in the root (Noh and Amasino, 2003), we compared the level of FLC reduction attributable to the suf3 lesion among different tissues. As shown in Figure 5C, a similar reduction was observed in all of the tissues we tested, indicating that the suf3 mutation affects the expression of FLC in all tissues. It is noteworthy that the suf3 mutants we analyzed have complete deletion of the gene; thus, the residual expression of FLC is not the result of a weak mutation.

Because a relatively higher level of *FLC* remained in *suf3*, we determined whether the residual expression of *FLC* still delays flowering. When the *suf3* mutants were vernalized for 5 weeks, a period of cold that is sufficient to suppress *FLC* expression, flowering was further accelerated in both long days and short days (Figure 5D, Table 1). Consistently, the genetic removal of either *FRI* or *FLC* from *suf3* mutants caused similar acceleration of flowering as the vernalization treatment (Figure 5D). These results show that the residual *FLC* expression in *suf3* represses flowering. However, the flowering time as well as the *SOC1* transcript level of *suf3* in the Col:*FRI*<sup>SF2</sup> background are similar to



Figure 2. Positional Cloning of the SUF3 Gene.

(A) The genetic interval, molecular markers, BAC clones, and deletion region are shown. Genomic DNA with marker SH39 could not be amplified by PCR, indicating that the region is deleted in *suf3-1*. Marker SH39 is located in the first intron of At3g33530. The deletion region in *suf3-1* is indicated.
 (B) *SUF3* gene structure showing exons (thick bars) and introns (thin bars). The gray bars represent untranslated regions (UTRs). The underlined region was used to generate *AtARP6* RNAi transgenic plants.

(C) Phenotype of transgenic plants. (a) Wild type. (b) *suf3-1*. (c) *35S-ARP6* in *suf3-1*. (d) *ARP6* RNAi in the Col:*FRI<sup>SF2</sup>* wild type. (e) Flowering time and *ARP6* expression level of individual *ARP6* RNAi transgenic T1 plants. *TUB*, *TUBULIN*.

those of Col. This strongly suggests that *SUF3* regulates not only *FLC* but also additional factor(s) for the repression of flowering. Consistent with this idea, the *suf3* mutation caused earlier flowering in line *35S-FLC*, which ectopically overexpresses *FLC* (Figure 5D).

Mutations in autonomous pathway genes in Col cause late flowering as a result of the derepression of *FLC* (Figure 5E) (Michaels and Amasino, 1999). The double mutant analysis showed that the *suf3* mutation largely suppresses the lateflowering phenotype in the autonomous pathway mutants (Figure 5F). Consistently, RNA gel blot analysis showed that the *suf3* mutation caused a decrease in *FLC* and an increase in *SOC1* in the autonomous pathway mutants (Figure 5E). Together, our results suggest that *SUF3* is generally required for high levels of *FLC* expression independent of *FRI* and the autonomous pathway genes.

			• • •
At	ARP6	1	MSNIVVLDNGGGLIKAGQGGERDETTVIPNGLYKELSSKKFIHPSPLTTLSD
0s	ARP6	1	MTGGSGVVVLDNGGGLLKAGFGGDMNPTAVVPNCMAKPPGSKKWLVADQLQAQ
Hs	ARP6	1	MTTLVLDNGAYNAKIGYSHENVSVIPNCOFRSKTARLKTFTANOIDE
Dm	ARP6	1	MANAVVVLDNGAHTAKVGLANODE-PHVVPNGIMKAKSERRAFVGNOIDE
Sp	ARP6	1	MGLPPKTIVLDNGAYHIKAGFAGGK VVETPNCLTRSKDGNRLFLGNELAN
At	ACT2	ĩ	MAEADDIOPIVCDNGTGMVKAGFAGDDAPPAWPPSVVGPPPHHGVMVGMNOKDAVVGDPA
		-	
a +	ADDE	5.2	PTOLOGA & VERDENDERVIETNEDLARPTWENTER
AL	ARPO	55	DUDITSARVKETIDD TED CIVITING DUCK INSTITUTS - DIRTAPSSSSILLI KAPISTISV
U.B.	ARPO	40	TYDY IGH INKY I DOWN IN DEVO ALY I RAN HIQVDPH NOSDILI VEROV PRATO
Dm	ARPO	51	CONTRACTIVE ACCOUNT AND A CONTRACT A
e n	ARPO	51	
SP	ARPO	51	CONFRIENCE AND
AL	ACT2	91	QSKKGIMIDKIMANGVVSKIMANIMIKIMANIMIKEMKIKMEENPVOIIIIAPDNPKANK
AC	ARPS	111	RTWDELVFEDFGFSSLWIAHPOSLVHLWEASROPDSILSKTOCSLVVDCGFSFTHA
ao	ARPO	112	HANDELVFEELGFKSLCVADAPSLVHLVEASROPSLFRAQCS VVDCGPSFTHA
HB	ARPS	107	ESMNET LPEEY OF OAV LRVNAGALSARRY PRDNPSELCCT IVDSGYSPTHT
Dm	ARP6	110	EATLEILFEEYKVDGVYKTTAADLAAFNYVADSEERTTMESLNCTIIDVGYSFTHV
Sp	ARP6	111	HNTIOLVFEEFOFDAYLPCTPAEDIPWDHGGFTMNDEDAYTGQHGECVDVIDSGYSFTHI
At	ACT2	119	EKMTQIMEETENSPAMYVAIQAVUS-UYASGRTTGIVLDSEDGVSHT
At	APP6	167	V PVL H NETLINHATKET DLGGKAPTNYLKELVSYPSINVMDETELVDDAKEKMCPVSMD
0.6	APPS	166	SPVIONETLINYAUPPMDLGGKALTNYLKELTSYP - SLNVMDETLINDDAKEKUCPVSKD
He	APPS	158	VPYCPSKKKKEATTPINWGGKMLTNHLKEITSYPOLHVMDETHWINOVKEDVCWYSOD
Dm	APPS	166	V PEUL GPPUL OGT PPT DMGGKALT NOLKELT SYP HUNVMDESHUVNOTKED VC PVAED
Sn	APPS	171	TPUTDESVOEOAVKRUDVGGKMLTNYLKEUTSYRKUNMMEETYTVNETKESVCVVSON
At	ACT2	165	V PILYE GESTIPHATILE IND LAGED TOY LMK THERE GYMPTT TAERETVED IKEKTSEVAVD
	100000000	10000000	
At	ARP6	225	LLRDLRLARNGN TLIKSTYVLPDGVTHTKGYVKDPQAAKRPLSLSEKESVVVMDKVG-
08	ARP6	224	VPGDLRLMRLSSNDNPPRCSWILPDGITYKKGFVKDLDEACRYSSLPANGESVRKDSSDS
Нs	ARP6	216	EYRDMDIAKLKGEENTVMIDYVLPDFSTIKKGPCKPREEMVLSG
Dm	ARP6	224	EKQAMQVHYSEEKRREVTVDYVLPDPTTVKRGYVRVPGKPRED
Sp	ARP6	229	EKEDMEICHEKP-RSKLEICWALPDYSTGKHGYIVRDINQKIE
At	ACT2	225	YEQEMETSKTSS-S-IEKNWEDDCQ
At	ARP6	282	ERKKADMNKNEIDLINERFLVPETLFQPADLGMNQAGLAECIVRAINSCHSYLQ
08	ARP6	284	DRSKFEDKKKPELSQNEFVLTNERFLVPEMLFHPIDLGMNQAGLAECIVRAIQACHPHLQ
Hs	ARP6	260	KYKSGEQILRLANERFAVPEILFNPSDIGIQEMGIPEAIVYSIQNLPEEMQ
Dm	ARP6	267	EEQ-QQIVSLCNERPTVPELLPNPSDIGVQQVGIPEAVADCLKACPWEAH
Sp	ARP6	271	QQVLNLSNERFMIPELLFSPSDIEIREAGIPEAVMQSVTHFPENIQ
At	ACT2	249	VITIGAERERCPEVLFQPSFVGMEAAGIHETTYNSIMKCDVDIR
At	ARP6	336	PVDYOSTINTGGSTUPPOLKERNEGENEPDVPDHPDVKITTOEDPTI.GVWPGGSTUASSP
0 F	ARPS	344	PVIJERTIJJTGGSTJEPRETERJEKEJEPIVPDDVOVKIJAOEDPJJ.GAWPGGSLJAHEP
HR	ARPS	311	PH PEKNIVI TGGNSLPPGPRDRVYSEWRCLTPTDYDVSVVLPENPITWAWEGGKLISEND
Dm	ARPS	316	REMLLNIMIVGGSAOFPGFLPRIKEDLRALVPDDLEVSMICPEDPVRVAWYGGKEVATSP
Sp	ARP6	317	AMLLENVVTIGGNCKPPGPHKRLSSELRSLAPANWEVKVPEPSDPTCPPWKKASHMP-LE
At	ACT2	293	KDLYGNIVLSGGTTMFSGIADRMSKEITALAPSSMKIKVVAPPERKYSVWIGGSILASLS
55.T)			
• •		205	
AC	ARPO	396	DEDSUCVIRABILSBIGSARCEREFE
UB	ARPO	404	
ns Dm	ARPO	371	
C n	ARPO	376	
SP At	ACTO	3/0	
AL	ACI Z	333	INCOVER DOIDERIDDEAN

Figure 3. Alignment of Deduced Amino Acids of ARP6.

The ARP6 homologs of *Arabidopsis* (At), rice (*Oryza sativa*; Os), human (Hs), *Drosophila* (Dm), and yeast (Sc) were aligned with *Arabidopsis* ACTIN2 (ACT2). ARP6s have a conserved core consisting of two  $\alpha/\beta$  subdomains in the actin family. ARP6s have two peptide insertions (boxed regions) without disrupting the conserved actin fold structure. Dots indicate residues that have structurally equivalent roles in the nucleotide binding site. The amino acid sequences were aligned using ClustalW version 1.7 (Thompson et al., 1994).

## Effect of *suf3* on the Expression of Other Flowering Time Genes

We checked the effect of suf3 on the expression of another flowering pathway integrator, FT (Figure 6A). Similar to SOC1, the FT transcript level was also increased by the suf3 mutation. Interestingly, under short-day conditions, the suf3 fri (suf3 in CoI) plants flowered very early compared with CoI or suf3 (Table 1). RT-PCR analysis showed that both FT and SOC1 were highly expressed in suf3 fri, whereas they were not detectable in CoI or suf3 when the plants were grown under short days (Figure 6A). Because SUF3 most likely regulates an additional flowering repressor as well as FLC, our results suggest that an additional repressor of FT and SOC1 in short days. Thus, the combination of *suf3* and weak expression of *FLC* causes a synergistic effect on flowering time in short days.

It was reported previously that *FLM/MAF1* (for *FLOWERING LOCUS M/MADS-AFFECTING FLOWERING1*) and *MAF2*, genes closely related to *FLC*, act as flowering repressors (Ratcliffe et al., 2001, 2003; Scortecci et al., 2003). In addition, similar to *FLC*, these *FLC* clade MADS box genes were shown to be regulated by homologs of the PAF1 complex, which mediates the trime-thylation of histone H3 of Lys-4 (He et al., 2004). To address the possibility that the additional flowering repression caused by *SUF3* is attributable to these genes, we checked the effect of the *suf3* mutation on the expression of *FLM/MAF1* and *MAF2* (Figure 6B). The transcript levels of *FLM/MAF1* and *MAF2* in *suf3* mutants were similar to those in the wild type, suggesting that they are not the additional repressors regulated by *SUF3*.



Figure 4. Expression Pattern of SUF3.

**(A)** *SUF3* expression in different tissues. Tissues were harvested from 20-d-old Col:*FRI<sup>SF2</sup>* wild-type plants grown in long days for whole plant (WP), root (RT), shoot apex (SA), and rosette leaves (RL). The tissues for old leaves (OL) were harvested from 60-d-old wild-type plants. The expression level was determined by RNA gel blot analysis.

**(B)** *SUF3* expression in the wild type treated with (+) and without (-) vernalization. Total RNAs for RT-PCR analysis were extracted from plants grown at 4°C for 40 d under short days. *TUB, TUBULIN*.

**(C)** *SUF3* expression in *FRI* or the flowering time mutants *ld-1, fca-9, fld-1, gi-2, co-1, ft-1,* and *soc1-2*. All plants were grown for 10 d under long days.

(D) In situ hybridization analysis. (a) *SUF3* expression in 12-d-old Col plants grown in long days. (b) *SUF3* expression in the inflorescence of a Col plant. The asterisk indicates the shoot apical meristem, and the numbers below the flower meristems indicate the floral stages. (c) *SUF3* expression in the flower meristem. The numbers inside the flower meristems indicate the flower meristems indicate the flower meristems indicate the flower meristem. The numbers inside the flower meristems indicate the flower meristem. No signal was detected.

SUPPRESSOR OF VEGETATIVE PHASE, a MADS box gene from another clade that acts as a flowering repressor (Hartmann et al., 2000; Scortecci et al., 2003), also did not show any difference in transcript level between the wild type and *suf3* (Figure 6B). The expression of the other flowering time genes CO, *LD*, *PIE1*, and *TFL2* also was not affected by the *suf3* mutation.

#### **Cellular Localization of SUF3**

To understand the cellular function of SUF3, we determined the subcellular location of Arabidopsis ARP6. For this, a gene encoding the ARP6:green fluorescent protein (GFP) or yellow fluorescent protein (YFP):ARP6 fusion protein, with an N- or C-terminal fusion, respectively, was introduced transiently into Arabidopsis protoplasts. Genes encoding GFP alone, NLS:red fluorescent protein (RFP) (a nuclear localization signal from simian virus 40 large T antigen fused with red fluorescent protein) (Dingwall and Laskey, 1991; Lee et al., 2001), and TFL2:RFP were used as controls for subcellular localization (Figure 7). As expected, GFP alone was detected in both the cytoplasm and the nucleus, whereas NLS:RFP and TFL2:RFP were detected only in the nucleus (Figures 7A to 7C). As shown in Figure 7D, ARP6:GFP was also detected in the nucleus, as were the ARP6 homologs of yeast and human (Goodson and Hawse, 2002; Blessing et al., 2004). However, the subnuclear localization of ARP6:GFP was different from that of NLS:RFP or TFL2:RFP (Figures 7D to 7L). Although NLS:RFP and TFL2:RFP were detected throughout the nucleoplasm, ARP6:GFP was excluded from the central region of the nucleus but detected at several regions of the nuclear periphery in patches (Figure 7D). The C-terminally fused YFP:ARP6 also showed similar subnuclear localization (Figures 7E to 7H). Consistently, the colocalization experiment using ARP6:GFP and TFL2:RFP showed that ARP6 is localized at the nuclear periphery, whereas TFL2 is localized at the nucleoplasm. The nuclear periphery was thought to be a place where gene activation or gene silencing occurs (Casolari et al., 2004; Misteli, 2004); thus, subcellular localization studies may indicate that SUF3 regulates gene expression at the nuclear periphery. Our results also clearly showed that Arabidopsis ARP6 is not colocalized with TFL2 in the nucleus, in contrast with the colocalization of ARP6 and HP1, a TFL2 homolog in Drosophila cells (Frankel et al., 1997).

#### DISCUSSION

In this study, we screened for mutants that suppressed the lateflowering trait of a *FRI*-containing line and characterized a mutant named *suf3* that flowers as early as Col. Map-based cloning revealed that *SUF3* encodes *Arabidopsis* ARP6, homologs of which are components of ATP-dependent chromatin-remodeling complexes in other eukaryotes (Blessing et al., 2004). The *suf3* mutation caused a reduction in *FLC* expression in both a *FRI*containing line and several autonomous pathway mutants, suggesting that *SUF3* is generally required for high levels of *FLC* expression.

ARP6 is a member of the ARP family, which is homologous with conventional actin and comprises divergent and evolutionarily conserved eukaryotic proteins (reviewed in Goodson and



Figure 5. FLC Expression in suf3 Mutants and the Effect on Flowering Time.

(A) RNA gel blot analysis in all *suf3* alleles. Numbers above the lanes are relative transcript levels of *FLC* compared with the wild type. *FLC*, *SOC1*, and *SUF3* transcript levels in *suf3* alleles were compared with those in the wild type and Col. Plants were grown for 10 d in long days. *SUF3* expression was not detected in all *suf3* alleles.

(B) Flowering time of all suf3 alleles. Error bars indicate SD based on means obtained for 15 plants for each line.

(C) FLC expression in different tissues of *suf3-1*. Numbers above the lanes are relative transcript levels of FLC compared with whole plants from the wild type. WP, whole plant; RT, root; SA, shoot apex; RL, rosette leaves; OL, old leaves.

(D) Effect of the *suf3* mutation on flowering time of plants with different genetic backgrounds. Flowering times of *FRI FLC* (wild type), *fri FLC* (Col), *fri flc*, and 35S-*FLC* were compared in the presence or absence of the *suf3* mutation. The effect of 5 weeks of vernalization on the flowering time of wild type and *suf3* plants was also determined. Black bars represent plants with *SUF3*, and gray bars represent plants with the *suf3* mutation. Error bars are as in (**B**).

(E) FLC and SOC1 transcript levels in double mutants of suf3 and autonomous pathway mutants were determined by RNA gel blot analysis. All plants were grown for 10 d under long days.

(F) Flowering time of double mutants of *suf3* and autonomous pathway mutants. The *suf3* mutation suppressed late flowering of autonomous pathway mutants. Error bars are as in (B).

Hawse, 2002; Blessing et al., 2004; Kandasamy et al., 2004). Most eukaryotic cells contain at least eight ARPs with diverse functions and different subcellular localization. Among them, ARP1 to ARP3 and ARP10 are localized to the cytoplasm and function in cellular motility and actin polymerization, whereas ARP4 to ARP9 are localized to the nucleus and are found as components of chromatin-remodeling complexes. *Arabidopsis*  contains eight ancient classes of ARPs that show differential expression (McKinney et al., 2002; Kandasamy et al., 2004). Recently, it was reported that silencing of *Arabidopsis* ARP4 causes multiple defects in plant development, including early flowering and delayed senescence (Kandasamy et al., 2005).

The ARP6 protein was originally thought to act with HP1 for heterochromatin organization, because colocalization of ARP6



Figure 6. Effect of the *suf3* Mutation on the Expression of *FT*, *SOC1*, and Other Flowering Time Genes.

(A) *FT* and *SOC1* expression in wild-type, *suf3*, Col, and *suf3 fri* plants grown under long days (LD) for 10 d or under short days (SD) for 20 d were determined by RT-PCR. High transcript levels of *FT* and *SOC1* were detected in *suf3 fri* under short days. *TUB*, *TUBULIN*.

**(B)** Expression of other flowering time genes in *suf3-1* and wild-type plants grown for 10 d under long days as determined by RT-PCR.

and HP1 was maintained throughout development in Drosophila (Frankel et al., 1997). However, it was shown in fission yeast that the localization of ARP6 is independent of SWI6, a yeast HP1 homolog, and that ARP6 is required only for telomere silencing, whereas SWI6 is required for both centromere and telomere silencing (Ueno et al., 2004). In any case, ARP6 is generally implicated in gene silencing. By contrast, ARP6 was recently found as a component of an ATP-dependent chromatin-remodeling complex, SWR1-C in yeast and SRCAP (for SWI2/SNF2-related CBP activator protein) in human (Krogan et al., 2003; Kobor et al., 2004; Mizuguchi et al., 2004; Cai et al., 2005). In addition, it was clearly demonstrated that the biochemical function of the SWR1 complex is to catalyze the ATP-driven exchange of the histone variant H2AZ with conventional H2A, thus remodeling chromatin structure (Mizuguchi et al., 2004). This result indicates that ARP6 may function in gene activation in addition to gene silencing. The Arabidopsis genome contains a homolog of both HP1 and SWR1; the HP1 homolog is TFL2 and the closest homolog of SWR1 in Arabidopsis is PIE1 (Gaudin et al., 2001; Kotake et al., 2003; Noh and Amasino, 2003). Interestingly, mutations in both TFL2 and PIE1 cause early flowering similar to the mutation in SUF3.

The *tfl2* mutation causes early flowering, the frequent conversion of the inflorescence shoot to a terminal flower, small and curled leaf formation, and dwarfism (Larsson et al., 1998; Gaudin et al., 2001; Kotake et al., 2003). However, *suf3* produced normal-sized serrated leaves instead of small curled leaves, did not show dwarfism, and produced terminal flowers very infrequently. More interestingly, the function of *TFL2* in the

regulation of flowering time is somewhat different from that of *SUF3*; the *tfl2* mutation causes an increase in *FT* but does not affect the *FLC* transcript level, whereas the *suf3* mutation causes both a decrease of *FLC* and an increase in the *FT* level (see Supplemental Figure 1 online) (Kotake et al., 2003; Takada and Goto, 2003). Moreover, *ft* is completely epistatic to *tfl2*, indicating that *TFL2* regulates flowering through *FT* (Kotake et al., 2003). Therefore, it is likely that *TFL2* acts only on the long-day pathway but *SUF3* acts mainly on the autonomous pathway. The results of our subcellular localization analysis for *Arabidopsis* ARP6 and TFL2 are consistent with the idea that the two genes have different functions. Although the two proteins locate in the nucleus, the subnuclear localization is completely different, because TFL2 is located throughout the nucleoplasm but ARP6 is located at distinct regions of the nuclear periphery.

The pie1 mutation also caused pleiotropic phenotypes in addition to early flowering. The mutant produced serrated leaves and flowers with extra petals. Particularly in the Col background, it showed reduced fertility and the bushy phenotype, which indicates an extreme loss of apical dominance (Noh and Amasino, 2003). The phenotype of reduced fertility was not found in suf3 mutants in the same genetic background, suggesting that the two genes may have at least partially independent functions. However, the *pie1* and *suf3* mutants have interesting similarities: both of the mutants produce serrated leaves and flowers with extra petals, and both show loss of apical dominance, although the severity is different. In addition, the effect of the two mutations on flowering is very similar: both pie1 and suf3 cause reduced expression of FLC in the FRI-containing line and autonomous pathway mutants, and both mutants cause early flowering independent of FLC (Noh and Amasino, 2003). Thus, it is probable that the two genes act together to control flowering time. Further studies consisting of double mutant analysis and protein-protein interaction analysis will provide an answer to this question.

Although SUF3 is necessary for the high expression of FLC, the suf3 mutant shows only a partial reduction of FLC level in a FRIcontaining line, and the remaining expression of FLC is functional in repressing flowering as well as in suppressing FT and SOC1 (Figures 5 and 6). However, the suf3 mutant showed similar flowering time to Col, which has fivefold less FLC expression. Thus, these results strongly suggest that SUF3 regulates additional factors for the repression of flowering in addition to FLC. Consistently, the suf3 mutation causes earlier flowering in both the flc null mutant and the 35S-FLC overexpression line. The function of additional factors for the repression of flowering is most prominent in short days. Although flc flowers just slightly earlier than Col (Michaels and Amasino, 2001) and suf3 flowers similarly to Col in short days, the suf3 fri double mutant flowers very early in short days. This finding suggests that the additional factors regulated by SUF3 have partially redundant function with FLC for repressing flowering in short days.

The presence of additional flowering factors that can act along with *FLC* to repress flowering was reported previously. Vernalization promotes flowering of the *flc* null mutant especially in short days, suggesting the presence of *FLC*-independent repression that is alleviated by vernalization (Michaels and Amasino, 2001). It was also shown that the transcript levels of



Figure 7. Localization of ARP6:GFP and YFP:ARP6 Fusion Proteins in Arabidopsis Protoplast Transient Assay.

Chloroplasts appear red or blue (pseudocolor). GFP and YFP fluorescence is green, and RFP fluorescence is red. ARP6:GFP and YFP:ARP6 were localized in distinct regions of the nuclear periphery.

- (A) Protoplast expressing GFP alone.
- (B) Protoplast expressing NLS:RFP.
- (C) Protoplast expressing TFL2:RFP.
- (D) Protoplast expressing ARP6:GFP.
- (E) to (H) Protoplasts expressing YFP:ARP6. (E) and (G) are transparent images.
- (I) to (L) Protoplasts expressing both TFL2:RFP and ARP6:GFP.
- (I) Section of a protoplast transparent image.
- (J) ARP6:GFP fluorescence.
- (K) TFL2:RFP fluorescence.
- (L) Merged image of TFL2:RFP and ARP6:GFP fluorescence.
- All images are projections except for (I).

both *FT* and *SOC1* were increased in the *flc* null mutant by vernalization, indicating that the additional factors regulate the same flowering pathway integrators (Moon et al., 2003). In addition, a mutation in any of the homologs of components of the PAF1 complex causes early flowering independent of *FLC*, suggesting that the additional factors may be regulated by the *Arabidopsis* PAF1 complex (Zhang and van Nocker, 2002; He et al., 2004; Oh et al., 2004). Currently, it is not known whether the same additional factors are regulated by vernalization, the PAF1 complex, and *SUF3*. At least the PAF1 complex and *SUF3* seem to have different targets, because the expression of *FLM* and *MAF2*, two *FLC* clade flowering repressors, was regulated not by *SUF3* but by the PAF1 complex (He et al., 2004).

Because SUF3 encodes Arabidopsis ARP6, a putative component of an ATP-dependent chromatin-remodeling complex, it is tempting to speculate that SUF3 regulates FLC through chromatin remodeling. However, the putative function of SUF3 for FLC chromatin remodeling seems to be independent of the histone modification of FLC chromatin regulated by vernalization, FRI, and the autonomous pathway. First, suf3 causes the suppression of FLC expression regardless of the presence of FRI-that is, suf3 further decreases the FLC transcript level in Col, a fri null (Figure 5D). Second, the suf3 lesion causes additive suppression of FLC with vernalization, thus resulting in earlier flowering (Table 1; see Supplemental Figure 2 online). Third, the suf3 mutation causes the suppression of FLC in fve, a mutation in the autonomous pathway gene that regulates the histone modification of FLC chromatin (Figure 5D) (He et al., 2003; Ausin et al., 2004). Therefore, SUF3 provides a distinct mechanism to regulate FLC gene expression. Future work to purify the Arabidopsis ARP6-containing protein complex and to analyze the components genetically and biochemically will help us understand the exact role of SUF3 in the regulation of flowering.

#### METHODS

#### **Plant Materials and Growth Conditions**

The wild type used in this study was the *Arabidopsis thaliana* CoI:*FRI*<sup>SF2</sup> strain, which is a CoI near-isogenic line containing the *FRI* allele of San Feliu-2 by eight backcrosses into CoI (Lee et al., 1993; Michaels and Amasino, 1999). Plants were grown in long days (16 h of light/8 h of dark) or short days (8 h of light/16 h of dark) under cool white fluorescent lights (100  $\mu$ mol·m<sup>-2</sup>·s<sup>-1</sup>) at 22°C with 60% RH. For vernalization, seeds were soaked and allowed to germinate on Murashige and Skoog medium at 4°C in short days for 5 weeks. Flowering time was measured by counting the number of rosette leaves from at least 10 plants.

#### Mutagenesis and Cloning of SUF3

Fast neutron mutagenesis and mutagenized populations of the Col:-FRISF2 strain have been described previously (Michaels and Amasino, 1999). Among early-flowering mutants that flower as early as Col, we obtained seven fast neutron alleles of suf3, suf3-1 to suf3-7 (FN6, FN7, FN24, FN108, FN115, FN202, and FN225) through complementation analysis. For the positional cloning of the SUF3 gene, we selected earlyflowering F2 progeny from the crosses between suf3-1 and Ler:FRISF2 FLCSF2, which was obtained by six backcrosses of San Feliu-2 to Ler (Lee and Amasino, 1995). Bulked segregation analysis was performed with the pool of 30 F2 individuals using molecular markers described by Lukowitz et al. (2000). For fine mapping, molecular markers based on small insertion-deletion polymorphisms on chromosome 3 were made using an alignment program, EditPlus 2, provided at http://www.ch.embnet. org/software/LALIGN\_form.html, after extracting Col and Ler sequences (http://www.arabidopsis.org/Cereon/index.jsp). The sequences of primers for the markers made are shown in Supplemental Table 1 online.

#### Analysis of Gene Expression

Total RNA was extracted from *Arabidopsis* seedlings using TRIZOL reagent (Sigma-Aldrich). For RNA gel blot analyses, 20  $\mu$ g of total RNA was separated by 1.2% denaturing formaldehyde–agarose gel electrophoresis and transferred to nylon membranes (Hybond N<sup>+</sup>; Amersham). The digoxigenin (DIG)-labeled mRNA probes were prepared from plasmid vectors containing the cDNA fragments lacking the MADS domain for *FLC* and *SOC1* and the full cDNA for *SUF3* using the DIG RNA labeling kit (Roche). Prehybridization, hybridization, and washes were performed as described in the DIG application manual (Roche). As a quantitative RNA loading control, membranes were stripped and probed with 18S rDNA labeled with [ $\alpha$ -<sup>32</sup>P]dCTP. The RT-PCR procedure and primers used for *SOC1*, *FLC*, *FT*, and *TUB2* were described previously (Lee et al., 2000; Moon et al., 2005). For *SUF3*, primers SUF3-F (5'-ATCACGCCATTAAGAGGATTG-3') and SUF3-R (5'-CTTGGTGA-CACACATGGACTC-3') were used.

#### In Situ Hybridization

Tissues from 12-d-old CoI seedlings and 25-d-old CoI inflorescence shoots grown under long days were collected, fixed, and treated according to the Irish laboratory protocol provided at http://pantheon. yale.edu/%7Evi5/ln%20situ%20protocol.pdf. The sections were made in 8  $\mu$ m. As a template for the *SUF3* probe, we used pYB31 containing full-length cDNA amplified by PCR. For the antisense probe, pYB31 plasmid DNA was digested with *Hin*dIII, which resulted in a probe of 660 nucleotides at the C terminus. For the sense probe, the DNA was digested with *Eco*RI, which resulted in a full-length probe with 1300 nucleotides. The entire procedure of in situ hybridization followed the Irish laboratory protocol.

#### **Plasmid Construction**

To generation the 35S-SUF3 construct, the cDNA of SUF3 was amplified by RT-PCR with forward primer 5'-ATGCAGGATCCGTATGTCAAACATC-GTTGTTCTA-3' and reverse primer 5'-AACCCGGATCCTCAATGAAA-GAATCGTCTACGAC-3'. The BamHI fragment of the PCR product was cloned into pCGN18 binary vector containing the cauliflower mosaic virus 35S promoter and the nopaline synthase terminator (Jack et al., 1994). To produce the SUF3 RNAi construct, an inverted-repeat construction including 198 bp of the 3' untranslated region (25 bp) and the C-terminal region (173 bp) of AtARP6 was made using pKANNIBAL vector in which a spliceable intron separates the two repeats (Helliwell and Waterhouse, 2003). Primers designed for PCR amplification of two identical 198-bp fragments for the AtARP6 RNAi construct were RNAi XhoI (5'-ATGCCCTCGAGCCACTTGT-CCCAGATCACTTT-3'), RNAi Kpnl (5'-ATGCCGGTACCCTCATGTGATAT-GTTTTGGT-3'). RNAi BamHI (5'-ATGCCGGATCCCCACTTGTCCCAGAT-CACTTT-3'), and RNAi Clal (5'-ATGCCATCGATCTCATGTGATATGTTTT-GGT-3'). The product was subcloned into a binary vector, pART27, for transformation of the wild type (Gleave, 1992).

For construction of a gene encoding a GFP fusion, a PCR fragment containing the AtARP6 open reading frame was amplified with forward primer 5'-ATGCAGGATCCGTATGTCAAACATCGTTGTTCTA-3' and reverse primer 5'-AACCCGGATCCAATGAAAGAATCGTCTACGACAC-3', which remove the stop codon at the C terminus and bear a BamHI restriction site. The fragment was inserted at the BamHI restriction site of the p326-GFP vector between the cauliflower mosaic virus 35S promoter and the N terminus of GFP (Lee et al., 2001). For the YFP:AtARP6 fusion construct, the SUF3 cDNA fragment was obtained by RT-PCR with forward primer 5'-ATGCGGATCCATGTCAAACATCGTTGTTCTA-3' and reverse primer 5'-AATTAGGCCTATGAAAGAATCGTCTACGACA-3' and was cloned in a plant expression vector containing the cassava vein mosaic virus promoter (Verdaguer et al., 1998) and the nopaline synthase terminator using BamHI and Stul restriction sites. For the TFL2:RFP fusion construct, TFL2 cDNA was amplified by PCR with forward primer 5'-ATGCAAGATCTATGAAAGGGGCAAGTGGTGCT-3' and reverse primer 5'-ATGCAAGATCTAAGGCGTTCGATTGTACTT-3', and the product was inserted at the N terminus of RFP in p326-RFP vector. For a positive control of nuclear localization, the fusion construct NLS-RFP was used (Dingwall and Laskey, 1991; Lee et al., 2001).

#### **Protoplast Transient Expression Assay**

Rosette leaves of plants grown for 4 to 6 weeks were used for the isolation and transformation of protoplasts essentially as described at http:// genetics.mgh.harvard.edu/sheenweb/. Protoplasts were electroporated with 20  $\mu$ g of plasmid DNA prepared with the Qiagen Plasmid Maxi Kit and cultured at 22°C in the dark. After 12 h of electroporation, protoplasts were observed with a confocal laser scanning microscope equipped with an argon/ krypton laser (Bio-Rad). The GFP fusion and YFP proteins were excited at 488 nm, whereas the RFP fusion protein and chlorophylls were excited at 568 nm. GFP/YFP, RFP, and chlorophyll autofluorescence were analyzed with the HQ515/30, HQ600/50, and E600LP emission filters, respectively. The resulting green and red images were overlaid and processed using Confocal Assistant 4.02 (Todd Clark Brelje) and Adobe Photoshop 6.0.

#### Accession Numbers

Sequence data from this article can be found in the GenBank/EMBL data libraries under accession number AT3G33520.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the ABRC for providing SALK\_003098 seeds; K. Goto for *tfl2* seeds; I. Hwang for p326-GFP, p326-RFP, and NLS-RFP; V. Irish and

N. Nakayama for technical support for in situ hybridization; and R. Amasino for critical reading of the manuscript. This work was supported partially by Grant PF0330403-00 from the Plant Diversity Research Center, Grant R02-2003-000-10020-0 from the Basic Research Program of the Korea Science and Engineering Foundation, Grant C00044 from the Korea Research Foundation, and a grant from the Korea Science and Engineering Foundation through the Plant Metabolism Research Center, Kyung Hee University. K.C., S.K., and Y.H. were supported by the Brain Korea 21 program.

Received June 22, 2005; revised August 15, 2005; accepted August 21, 2005; published September 9, 2005.

#### REFERENCES

- Amasino, R. (2004). Take a cold flower. Nat. Genet. 36, 111-112.
- Ausin, I., Alonso-Blanco, C., Jarillo, J.A., Ruiz-Garcia, L., and Martinez-Zapater, J.M. (2004). Regulation of flowering time by FVE, a retinoblastoma-associated protein. Nat. Genet. 36, 162–166.
- Bastow, R., Mylne, J.S., Lister, C., Lippman, Z., Martienssen, R.A., and Dean, C. (2004). Vernalization requires epigenetic silencing of *FLC* by histone methylation. Nature **427**, 164–167.
- Blessing, C.A., Ugrinova, G.T., and Goodson, H.V. (2004). Actin and ARPs: Action in the nucleus. Trends Cell Biol. 14, 435–442.
- Boss, P.K., Bastow, R.M., Mylne, J.S., and Dean, C. (2004). Multiple pathways in the decision to flower: Enabling, promoting, and resetting. Plant Cell 16 (suppl.), S18–S31.
- Burn, J.E., Smyth, D.R., Peacock, W.J., and Dennis, E.S. (1993). Genes conferring late flowering in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Genetica **90**, 147–155.
- Cai, Y., Jin, J., Florens, L., Swanson, S.K., Kusch, T., Li, B., Workman, J.L., Washburn, M.P., Conaway, R.C., and Conaway, J.W. (2005). The mammalian YL1 protein is a shared subunit of the TRRAP/TIP60 histone acetyltransferase and SRCAP complexes. J. Biol. Chem. 280, 13665–13670.
- Casolari, J.M., Brown, C.R., Komili, S., West, J., Hieronymus, H., and Silver, P.A. (2004). Genome-wide localization of the nuclear transport machinery couples transcriptional status and nuclear organization. Cell 117, 427–439.
- Chanvivattana, Y., Bishop, A., Schubert, D., Stock, C., Moon, Y.H., Sung, Z.R., and Goodrich, J. (2004). Interaction of Polycombgroup proteins controlling flowering in Arabidopsis. Development 131, 5263–5276.
- Clarke, J.H., and Dean, C. (1994). Mapping *FRI*, a locus controlling flowering time and vernalization response in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Mol. Gen. Genet. 242, 81–89.
- Dingwall, C., and Laskey, R.A. (1991). Nuclear targeting sequences: A consensus? Trends Biochem. Sci. 16, 478–481.
- Farrona, S., Hurtado, L., Bowman, J.L., and Reyes, J.C. (2004). The Arabidopsis thaliana SNF2 homolog AtBRM controls shoot development and flowering. Development 131, 4965–4975.
- Frankel, S., Sigel, E.A., Craig, C., Elgin, S.C., Mooseker, M.S., and Artavanis-Tsakonas, S. (1997). An actin-related protein in Drosophila colocalizes with heterochromatin protein 1 in pericentric heterochromatin. J. Cell Sci. 110, 1999–2012.
- Gaudin, V., Libault, M., Pouteau, S., Juul, T., Zhao, G., Lefebvre, G., and Grandjean, O. (2001). Mutations in LIKE HETEROCHROMATIN PROTEIN 1 affect flowering time and plant architecture in *Arabidopsis*. Development **128**, 4847–4858.
- Gazzani, S., Gendall, A.R., Lister, C., and Dean, C. (2003). Analysis of the molecular basis of flowering time variation in Arabidopsis accessions. Plant Physiol. **132**, 1107–1114.

- Gendall, A.R., Levy, Y.Y., Wilson, A., and Dean, C. (2001). The VERNALIZATION 2 gene mediates the epigenetic regulation of vernalization in Arabidopsis. Cell **107**, 525–535.
- **Gleave, A.P.** (1992). A versatile binary vector system with a T-DNA organizational structure conducive to efficient integration of cloned DNA into the plant genome. Plant Mol. Biol. **20**, 1203–1207.
- Goodson, H.V., and Hawse, W.F. (2002). Molecular evolution of the actin family. J. Cell Sci. 115, 2619–2622.
- Hartmann, U., Hohmann, S., Nettesheim, K., Wisman, E., Saedler, H., and Huijser, P. (2000). Molecular cloning of SVP: A negative regulator of the floral transition in Arabidopsis. Plant J. 21, 351–360.
- He, Y., and Amasino, R.M. (2005). Role of chromatin modification in flowering time control. Trends Plant Sci. **10**, 30–35.
- He, Y., Doyle, M.R., and Amasino, R.M. (2004). PAF1-complexmediated histone methylation of FLOWERING LOCUS C chromatin is required for the vernalization-responsive, winter-annual habit in Arabidopsis. Genes Dev. 18, 2774–2784.
- He, Y., Michaels, S.D., and Amasino, R.M. (2003). Regulation of flowering time by histone acetylation in Arabidopsis. Science 302, 1751–1754.
- Helliwell, C., and Waterhouse, P. (2003). Constructs and methods for high-throughput gene silencing in plants. Methods 30, 289–295.
- Jack, T., Fox, G.L., and Meyerowitz, E.M. (1994). Arabidopsis homeotic gene APETALA3 ectopic expression: Transcriptional and posttranscriptional regulation determine organ identity. Cell 76, 703–716.
- Johanson, U., West, J., Lister, C., Michaels, S., Amasino, R., and Dean, C. (2000). Molecular analysis of *FRIGIDA*, a major determinant of natural variation in Arabidopsis flowering time. Science **290**, 344–347.
- Kandasamy, M.K., Deal, R.B., McKinney, E.C., and Meagher, R.B. (2004). Plant actin-related proteins. Trends Plant Sci. 9, 196–202.
- Kandasamy, M.K., Deal, R.B., McKinney, E.C., and Meagher, R.B. (2005). Silencing the nuclear actin-related protein AtARP4 in Arabidopsis has multiple effects on plant development, including early flowering and delayed floral senescence. Plant J. 41, 845–858.
- Kobor, M.S., Venkatasubrahmanyam, S., Meneghini, M.D., Gin, J.W., Jennings, J.L., Link, A.J., Madhani, H.D., and Rine, J. (2004). A protein complex containing the conserved Swi2/Snf2-related ATPase Swr1p deposits histone variant H2A.Z into euchromatin. PLoS Biol. 2, E131.
- Koornneef, M., Alonso-Blanco, C., Blankestijin-de Vries, H., Hanhart, C.J., and Peeters, A.J. (1998). Genetic interactions among late-flowering mutants of Arabidopsis. Genetics 148, 885–892.
- Koornneef, M., Blankestijin-de Vries, H., Hanhart, C., Soppe, W., and Peeters, T. (1994). The phenotype of some late-flowering mutants is enhanced by a locus on chromosome 5 that is not effective in the Landsberg *erecta* wild-type. Plant J. 6, 911–919.
- Koornneef, M., Hanhart, C.J., and van der Veen, J.J. (1991). A genetic and physiological analysis of late flowering mutants in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Mol. Gen. Genet. **229**, 57–66.
- Kotake, T., Takada, S., Nakahigashi, K., Ohto, M., and Goto, K. (2003). Arabidopsis TERMINAL FLOWER 2 gene encodes a heterochromatin protein 1 homolog and represses both FLOWERING LOCUS T to regulate flowering time and several floral homeotic genes. Plant Cell Physiol. 44, 555–564.
- Krogan, N.J., Dover, J., Wood, A., Schneider, J., Heidt, J., Boateng, M.A., Dean, K., Ryan, O.W., Golshani, A., Johnston, M., Greenblatt, J.F., and Shilatifard, A. (2003). The Paf1 complex is required for histone H3 methylation by COMPASS and Dot1p: Linking transcriptional elongation to histone methylation. Mol. Cell **11**, 721–729.

- Kuzmichev, A., Nishioka, K., Erdjument-Bromage, H., Tempst, P., and Reinberg, D. (2002). Histone methyltransferase activity associated with a human multiprotein complex containing the Enhancer of Zeste protein. Genes Dev. 16, 2893–2905.
- Larsson, A.S., Landberg, K., and Meeks-Wagner, D.R. (1998). The TERMINAL FLOWER2 (TFL2) gene controls the reproductive transition and meristem identity in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Genetics **149**, 597–605.
- Lee, H., Suh, S.S., Park, E., Cho, E., Ahn, J.H., Kim, S.G., Lee, J.S., Kwon, Y.M., and Lee, I. (2000). The AGAMOUS-LIKE 20 MADS domain protein integrates floral inductive pathways in Arabidopsis. Genes Dev. 14, 2366–2376.
- Lee, I., and Amasino, R.M. (1995). Effect of vernalization, photoperiod, and light quality on the flowering phenotype of Arabidopsis plants containing the FRIGIDA gene. Plant Physiol. **108**, 157–162.
- Lee, I., Bleecker, A., and Amasino, R.M. (1993). Analysis of naturally occurring late flowering in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Mol. Gen. Genet. 237, 171–176.
- Lee, I., Michaels, S.D., Masshardt, A.S., and Amasino, R.M. (1994). The late-flowering phenotype of *FRIGIDA* and *LUMINIDEPENDENS* is suppressed in the Landsberg *erecta* strain of Arabidopsis. Plant J. 6, 903–909.
- Lee, Y.J., Kim, D.H., Kim, Y.-W., and Hwang, I. (2001). Identification of a signal that distinguishes between the chloroplast outer envelope membrane and the endomembrane system in vivo. Plant Cell 13, 2175–2190.
- Levy, Y.Y., Mesnage, S., Mylne, J.S., Gendall, A.R., and Dean, C. (2002). Multiple roles of Arabidopsis *VRN1* in vernalization and flowering time control. Science **297**, 243–246.
- Lukowitz, W., Gillmor, C.S., and Scheible, W.R. (2000). Positional cloning in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol. **123**, 795–805.
- McKinney, E.C., Kandasamy, M.K., and Meagher, R.B. (2002). Arabidopsis contains ancient classes of differentially expressed actin-related protein genes. Plant Physiol. **128**, 997–1007.
- Michaels, S.D., and Amasino, R.M. (1999). FLOWERING LOCUS C encodes a novel MADS domain protein that acts as a repressor of flowering. Plant Cell **11**, 949–956.
- Michaels, S.D., and Amasino, R.M. (2001). Loss of FLOWERING LOCUS C activity eliminates the late-flowering phenotype of FRIGIDA and autonomous pathway mutations but not responsiveness to vernalization. Plant Cell **13**, 935–941.
- Michaels, S.D., He, Y., Scortecci, K.C., and Amasino, R.M. (2003). Attenuation of *FLOWERING LOCUS C* activity as a mechanism for the evolution of summer-annual flowering behavior in Arabidopsis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **100**, 10102–10107.
- Misteli, T. (2004). Spatial positioning: A new dimension in genome function. Cell **119**, 153–156.
- Mizuguchi, G., Shen, X., Landry, J., Wu, W.H., Sen, S., and Wu, C. (2004). ATP-driven exchange of histone H2AZ variant catalyzed by SWR1 chromatin remodeling complex. Science **303**, 343–348.
- Moon, J., Lee, H., Kim, M., and Lee, I. (2005). Analysis of flowering pathway integrators in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell Physiol. 46, 292–299.
- Moon, J., Suh, S.-S., Lee, H., Choi, K.-R., Hong, J.B., Paek, N.-C., Kim, S.-G., and Lee, I. (2003). The SOC1 MADS-box gene integrates vernalization and gibberellin signals for flowering in Arabidopsis. Plant J. 35, 613–623.
- Mouradov, A., Cremer, F., and Coupland, G. (2002). Control of flowering time: Interacting pathways as a basis for diversity. Plant Cell **14** (suppl.), S111–S130.
- Napp-Zinn, K. (1985). Arabidopsis thaliana. In CRC Handbook of Flowering, H.A. Halevy, ed (Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press), pp. 492–503.
- Narlikar, G.J., Fan, H.Y., and Kingston, R.E. (2002). Cooperation between complexes that regulate chromatin structure and transcription. Cell 108, 475–487.

- Ng, H.H., Robert, F., Young, R.A., and Struhl, K. (2003). Targeted recruitment of Set1 histone methylase by elongating Pol II provides a localized mark and memory of recent transcriptional activity. Mol. Cell **11**, 709–719.
- Noh, Y.S., and Amasino, R.M. (2003). *PIE1*, an ISWI family gene, is required for *FLC* activation and floral repression in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell **15**, 1671–1682.
- **Oh, S., Zhang, H., Ludwig, P., and van Nocker, S.** (2004). A mechanism related to the yeast transcriptional regulator Paf1c is required for expression of the Arabidopsis FLC/MAF MADS box gene family. Plant Cell **16**, 2940–2953.
- **Onouchi, H., Igeno, M.I., Perilleux, C., Graves, K., and Coupland, G.** (2000). Mutagenesis of plants overexpressing CONSTANS demonstrates novel interactions among Arabidopsis flowering-time genes. Plant Cell **12**, 885–900.
- Piñeiro, M., Gomez-Mena, C., Schaffer, R., Martinez-Zapater, J.M., and Coupland, G. (2003). EARLY BOLTING IN SHORT DAYS is related to chromatin remodeling factors and regulates flowering in Arabidopsis by repressing *FT*. Plant Cell **15**, 1552–1562.
- Ratcliffe, O.J., Kumimoto, R.W., Wong, B.J., and Riechmann, J.L. (2003). Analysis of the Arabidopsis MADS AFFECTING FLOWERING gene family: MAF2 prevents vernalization by short periods of cold. Plant Cell **15**, 1159–1169.
- Ratcliffe, O.J., Nadzan, G.C., Reuber, T.L., and Riechmann, J.L. (2001). Regulation of flowering in *Arabidopsis* by an FLC homologue. Plant Physiol. **126**, 122–132.
- Samach, A., Onouchi, H., Gold, S.E., Ditta, G.S., Schwarz-Sommer, Z., Yanofsky, M.F., and Coupland, G. (2000). Distinct roles of CONSTANS target genes in reproductive development of Arabidopsis. Science 288, 1613–1618.
- Scortecci, K., Michaels, S.D., and Amasino, R.M. (2003). Genetic interactions between FLM and other flowering-time genes in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant Mol. Biol. 52, 915–922.
- Sheldon, C.C., Burn, J.E., Perez, P.P., Metzger, J., Edwards, J.A., Peacock, W.J., and Dennis, E.S. (1999). The *FLF* MADS box gene: A repressor of flowering in Arabidopsis regulated by vernalization and methylation. Plant Cell **11**, 445–458.
- Sheldon, C.C., Rouse, D.T., Finnegan, E.J., Peacock, W.J., and Dennis, E.S. (2000). The molecular basis of vernalization: The central role of *FLOWERING LOCUS C (FLC)*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 97, 3753–3758.
- Simpson, G.G., and Dean, C. (2002). Arabidopsis, the Rosetta stone of flowering time? Science 296, 285–289.
- Smyth, D.R., Bowman, J.L., and Meyerowitz, E.M. (1990). Early flower development in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 2, 755–767.
- Suarez-Lopez, P., Wheatley, K., Robson, F., Onouchi, H., Valverde, F., and Coupland, G. (2001). CONSTANS mediates between the circadian clock and the control of flowering in Arabidopsis. Nature 410, 1116–1120.
- Sung, S., and Amasino, R.M. (2004a). Vernalization in Arabidopsis thaliana is mediated by the PHD finger protein VIN3. Nature 427, 159–164.
- Sung, S., and Amasino, R.M. (2004b). Vernalization and epigenetics: How plants remember winter. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 7, 4–10.
- Takada, S., and Goto, K. (2003). TERMINAL FLOWER2, an Arabidopsis homolog of HETEROCHROMATIN PROTEIN1, counteracts the activation of FLOWERING LOCUS T by CONSTANS in the vascular tissues of leaves to regulate flowering time. Plant Cell 15, 2856–2865.
- Thompson, J.D., Higgins, D.G., and Gibson, T.J. (1994). CLUSTAL W: Improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. Nucleic Acids Res. 22, 4673–4680.
- Turner, B.M. (2002). Cellular memory and the histone code. Cell 111, 285–291.

- Ueno, M., Murase, T., Kibe, T., Ohashi, N., Tomita, K., Murakami, Y., Uritani, M., Ushimaru, T., and Harata, M. (2004). Fission yeast Arp6 is required for telomere silencing, but functions independently of Swi6. Nucleic Acids Res. **32**, 736–741.
- Valverde, F., Mouradov, A., Soppe, W., Ravenscroft, D., Samach, A., and Coupland, G. (2004). Photoreceptor regulation of CONSTANS protein in photoperiodic flowering. Science 303, 1003–1006.
- Verdaguer, B., de Kochko, A., Fux, C.I., Beachy, R.N., and Fauquet,
  C. (1998). Functional organization of the cassava vein mosaic virus (CsVMV) promoter. Plant Mol. Biol. 37, 1055–1067.
- Yanovsky, M.J., and Kay, S.A. (2002). Molecular basis of seasonal time measurement in Arabidopsis. Nature **419**, 308–312.
- Zhang, H., and van Nocker, S. (2002). The VERNALIZATION INDE-PENDENCE 4 gene encodes a novel regulator of FLOWERING LOCUS C. Plant J. 31, 663–667.

### SUPPRESSOR OF FRIGIDA3 Encodes a Nuclear ACTIN-RELATED PROTEIN6 Required for Floral Repression in Arabidopsis Kyuha Choi, Sanghee Kim, Sang Yeol Kim, Minsoo Kim, Youbong Hyun, Horim Lee, Sunghwa Choe, Sang-Gu Kim, Scott Michaels and Ilha Lee

*Plant Cell* 2005;17;2647-2660; originally published online September 9, 2005; DOI 10.1105/tpc.105.035485

This information is current as of May 28, 2019

Supplemental Data	/content/suppl/2005/08/26/tpc.105.035485.DC1.html	
References	This article cites 76 articles, 38 of which can be accessed free at: /content/17/10/2647.full.html#ref-list-1	
Permissions	https://www.copyright.com/ccc/openurl.do?sid=pd_hw1532298X&issn=1532298X&WT.mc_id=pd_hw1532298X	
eTOCs	Sign up for eTOCs at: http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/alerts/ctmain	
CiteTrack Alerts	Sign up for CiteTrack Alerts at: http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/alerts/ctmain	
Subscription Information	Subscription Information for <i>The Plant Cell</i> and <i>Plant Physiology</i> is available at: http://www.aspb.org/publications/subscriptions.cfm	

© American Society of Plant Biologists ADVANCING THE SCIENCE OF PLANT BIOLOGY